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## Executive summary

The data presented here are derived from the STECF catch and effort database, the same source used to produce the Discard Atlas of North Sea Fisheries by the "Schevevingen Group" which ensures data compatibility between the two areas. This report focuses solely on pelagic and industrial species. At sea observer coverage in pelagic and industrial fisheries tends to be considerably lower (or even absent in some fisheries) in comparison to demersal fisheries.

The main source of discarding is through deliberate slipping of the catch and discarding from onboard processing because of high-grading and by-catches of other pelagic species. Slipping is considered to be a significant phenomenon in pelagic fisheries, but because slipping rarely (if ever) occurs when scientific observers are present the estimates obtained from the STECF database are therefore likely to be a gross underestimation of the true catch.

Given the low level of observer coverage, the available data are very sparse and the majority of estimates are derived through "fill-in" procedures resulting in discard levels that are highly uncertain. In addition, it is not possible to assess the specific catch composition from individual trips from the STECF database, as such no by-catch estimates e.g. by-catch of boarfish in the herring fishery, can be provided. Lack of by-catch data, and the general paucity of data, may undermine the information base for de minimis exemptions for example.

## 1 Introduction

Article 15 of the recently introduced Common Fisheries Policy (EU regulation 1380/2013) states that discarding of regulated species will no longer be permitted and be phased out from 2015 onwards. Fisheries for pelagic species are one of the first groups to fall under the obligation to land all catches and in accordance with the regionalisation provisions contained in the basic CFP regulation, member states can develop regional discard plans for the purpose.

Article 14 of the new basic regulation notes that "Member States may produce a 'discard atlas' showing the level of discards in each of the fisheries covered by the landing obligation", to this end the technical group of the DGNWWG has been tasked with developing such an atlas. Given the time window to develop a regional plan for pelagic species, this atlas relates only to small pelagic species caught in Western Waters (ICES divisions VI and VII). However, the intention is to develop a discard atlas which covers both pelagic and demersal species over the coming months.

## 2 Materials and methods for quantifying discards

### 2.1 Stocks and fisheries

Pelagic fisheries are generally specialist in targeting and catching predominantly one species at a time. In Western Waters the main quota managed pelagic species are herring, horse mackerel, boarfish, blue whiting, mackerel, sprat and argentines (silver smelt). According to the STECF database, there are no industrial fisheries operational in VI and VII.

### 2.2 Data sources

The data on landings and discards presented here are derived from two sources. Information on volume of landings is derived from the national fisheries statistics and discard estimates are obtained through individual MS 'at-sea' sampling programmes. These national programs, which are part funded under the Data Collection Programme, are designed to estimate the catch of commercial marine fisheries, in particular of those individuals discarded at-sea. Discard estimates are included in several fish stock assessments so that overall fishing mortality can be estimated. Even though the control regulation also prescribes that fishers have to report all discards above 50 kg per species per trip, only very limited information on discards is actually registered in the logbooks (STECF, 2014).

It is noted that the group of North Sea MS (the Scheveningen Group) have already produced a discard atlas covering the pelagic and demersal fisheries of the North Sea. To ensure consistency and comparability between the Western Waters and the North Sea, the technical group of DGNWWG opted to follow the same approaches and use the same data set as used by the Scheveningen Group (see section 2.3 of the Discard Atlas of North Sea fisheries).

The results presented here are based on the STECF database on fisheries data that is generated by the STECF Expert Working Group on the Evaluation of Fishing Effort Regimes (STECF EWG 13-I3). Each year member states are asked to deliver data on landings and discards (and effort) in a
predefined format. A detailed description of available data from each member state can be found in STECF (2013a). Following the approach taken by the Scheveningen Group only data from 2010 to 2012 were used in this analysis because the quality of data has improved over the years and the number of species included has increased (STECF, 2013).

## 3 Pelagic in ICES Division VI and VII

### 3.1 Quality of discard information

There is a number of quality issues associated with discard estimates in particular that need to be considered. Typically observer sampling levels are low, covering less than I\% of the total effort for a given fleet segment or metier, this means that discard estimates can be imprecise with a high degree of uncertainly. This can be further exaggerated when some fisheries have not being covered by individual member states, under these circumstances the STECF database "borrows" or "fills-in" with data from other member states that have similar fisheries operating in the same area.

The tables provided below highlight how much of the final discard estimates stem from reported data and how much had to be filled in by assuming an average discard ratio from countries that have submitted data for a given metier/fishery. The quality is expressed as \%DQ (\% discard quality) derived as the amount of discards from submitted data relative to the overall estimate of discards (in tonnes). As can be seen in tables 3.1 to 3.3 (small pelagics) and table 3.4 (large pelagic), the \%DQ is very low in almost all cases suggesting that the available data is very suspect given that in the majority of cases more than $67 \%$ of the discard estimates stem from "fill-ins" It is also noted that there appear to be some spurious estimates which are probably a consequence of the low sampling levels and/or in appropriate fill in procedures e.g. FRA discarding of Mackerel (table 3.5). Discard rates by gear type are also given in table 3.7 - 3.9 for ICES Division VI and VII separately and for VI and VII combined.

### 3.2 Discard ratios by Species and Country

For the majority of pelagic species, the available discard data would imply that overall discard ratios are low for most species. However, these data need to be treated with a high degree of caution. In practice, it is highly likely that the discard for rates for small pelagic species presented in tables 3.1 to 3.3 (2009 to 201 12) are a gross underestimation of discarding in these fishers.

ICES (2013) considers that the estimates of mackerel catch are likely to be an underestimate. In many cases estimates of discarding or slipping are either not available or incomplete for most countries. Anecdotal evidence suggests that discarding and slipping can occur for a number of reasons including high-grading (fish weighing more than 600 g attracts a premium price), lack of quota, storage or processing capacity and when mackerel is taken as by-catch. High grading is also an issue in pelagic fisheries for other species such as herring, where catches are discarded during onboard processing (Borges et al, 2008). Discarding can occur due to by-catches of other pelagic species e.g. boarfish in the herring fishery, mackerel in the fishery for horse mackerel (Borges et al, 2008).

Slipping is prohibited under EU law and therefore tends not to occur in the presence of observers (so called observer effect). For this reason, many EU countries have suspended their observer programmes, resulting in a further deterioration in catch estimates. While table 3.5 shows relative
high discards in a small number of cases, these estimates should be treated with a high level of caution as it is likely that these are heavily inflated estimates based on few or a single observation.

The available data only provides an indication of the discarding at a stock level and does not provide any indication as to the extent of discarding across species at a trip or fishery level i.e. no indication of the level of unwanted by-catch. This general lack of data will limit the information base available in circumstances where de minimis exemptions may be sought.

Table 3.4 provides landings and discard estimates for large pelagic species caught in ICES Divisions VI and VII (2009-20I2) and this data is broken down by country in table 3.6 while table 3.10 provides a breakdown by gear type. The available data shows very low discard rates by species but this should be treated with caution, although there is no a priori reason to expect high discards in these fisheries.

### 3.3 Quota allocations

- Substantial quota exchange occurs between countries.


### 3.4 Conclusions

- Unnacounted mortality due to slipping and other sources of dicsarding is considered to be a significant phenomenon in pelagic fishieres and the estimates obtained from the STECF database are likely to be a gross underestimation of the true catch.
- The available data are very sparse and the majority ( $>66 \%$ ) are derived through "fillin" procedures resulting in estimates that are highly uncertain.
- Lack of by-catch data may undermine the information base for de minimis exemptions for example.
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| vi |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPEC_NAME | 2010 Land. | 2010 Dis. | 2010 Catch | 2010 \%DR \%DQ | 2011 Land. | 2011 Dis. | 2011 Catch | 2011 \%DR \%DQ | 2012 Land. | 2012 Dis. | 2012 Catch | 2012 \%DR | \%DQ | Avg Land. | Avg Dis. | Avg Catch | Avg \%DR | AVG \%DQ |
| Blue whiting | 40723 | 260 | 40983 | 1\% 33\% | 8758 | 3139 | 11897 | 26\% 5\% | 28593 | 1049 | 29642 | 4\% | 54\% | 26025 | 1482 | 27507 | 5\% | - $18 \%$ |
| Boarfishes | 1365 |  | 1365 | 0\% N/A | 26 |  | 26 | 0\% N/A | 130 |  | 130 | 0\% | N/A | 507 | 0 | 507 | 0\% | N/A |
| Herring | 29444 | 68 | 29512 | 0\% 31\% | 23782 | 191 | 23973 | 1\% 18\% | 25323 | 64 | 25387 |  | - $24 \%$ | 26183 | 108 | 26291 |  | - $22 \%$ |
| Horse mackerels | 23547 | 904 | 24452 | 4\% $1 \%$ | 40006 | 247 | 40253 | 1\% $24 \%$ | 45178 | 110 | 45288 |  | 28\% | 36244 | 420 | 36664 |  | - $8 \%$ |
| Mackerel | 107318 | 825 | 108144 | 1\% 8\% | 159088 | 15703 | 174791 | 9\% 8\% | 119779 | 4292 | 124071 |  | 10\% | 128728 | 6940 | 135668 |  | - $9 \%$ |
| Greater Silver Smelt | 5822 |  | 5822 | 0\% N/A | 1485 |  | 1485 | 0\% N/A | 2318 | 18 | 2336 | 1\% | N/A | 3208 | 6 | 3214 | 0\% | N/A |
| Sprat | 869 | 0 | 869 | 0\% N/A | 1223 | 0 | 1223 | 0\% N/A | 1797 |  | 1797 |  | N/A | 1296 | 0 | 1296 | 0\% | N/A |
| Grand Total | 209089 | 2057 | 211146 | 1\% 9\% | 234367 | 19280 | 253648 | 8\% 8\% | 223119 | 5531 | 228651 |  | - $16 \%$ | 222192 | 8956 | 231148 |  | - $10 \%$ |


| VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPEC_NAME | 2010 Land. | 2010 Dis. | 2010 Catch | 2010\%DR | \%DQ | 2011 Land. | 2011 Dis. | 2011 Catch | 2011 \%DR | \%DQ | 2012 Land. | 2012 Dis. | 2012 Catch | 2012\%DR | \%DQ | Avg Land. | Avg Dis. | Avg Catch | Avg \%DR | AVG \%DQ |
| Blue whiting | 34614 | 2641 | 37255 | 7\% | - $1 \%$ | 2930 | 0 | 2930 | 0\% | N/A | 21630 | 39 | 21668 | 0\% | 49\% | 19725 | 893 | 20618 | 4\% | - $2 \%$ |
| Boarfishes | 136586 | 0 | 136586 | 0\% | N/A | 28073 | 0 | 28073 | 0\% | N/A | 77153 | 0 | 77153 | 0\% | N/A | 80604 | 0 | 80604 | 0\% | N/A |
| Herring | 33059 | 35 | 33094 | 0\% | - $32 \%$ | 36159 | 6735 | 42894 | 16\% | 2\% | 61316 | 1759 | 63075 | 3\% | 44\% | 43511 | 2843 | 46354 |  | 11\% |
| Horse mackerels | 141415 | 107 | 141522 | 0\% | - $7 \%$ | 116751 | 492 | 117243 | 0\% | 22\% | 126566 | 549 | 127115 | 0\% | 58\% | 128244 | 382 | 128626 | 0\% | 38\% |
| Mackerel | 86112 | 34040 | 120152 | 28\% | - $2 \%$ | 45637 | 9182 | 54820 | 17\% | 5\% | 57361 | 9736 | 67097 | 15\% | 32\% | 63037 | 17653 | 80690 |  | - 8\% |
| Greater Silver Smelt | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0\% | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% | N/A | 4 | 0 | - 4 | 0\% | N/A |
| Sprat | 7147 |  | 7147 |  | N/A | 5996 |  | 5996 |  |  | 12060 | 0 | 12060 |  | N/A | 8401 | 0 | 8401 |  | N/A |
| Grand Total | 438944 | 36823 | 475767 | 8\% | - $2 \%$ | 235545 | 16410 | 251955 | 7\% | - $4 \%$ | 356086 | 12083 | 368169 |  | 35\% | 343525 | 21772 | 365297 |  | - 8\% |


| VI and VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPEC_NAME | 2010 Land. | 2010 Dis. | 2010 Catch | 2010 \%DR | \%DQ | 2011 Land. | 2011 Dis. | 2011 Catch | 2011 \%DR | \%DQ | 2012 Land. | 2012 Dis. | 2012 Catch | 2012\%DR | \%DQ | Avg Land. | Avg Dis. | Avg Catch | Avg \%DR | AVG \%DQ |
| Blue whiting | 75338 | 2900 | 78238 | 4\% | - $1 \%$ | 11688 | 3139 | 14827 | 21\% | - $5 \%$ | 50223 | 1087 | 51310 | 2\% | 53\% | 45750 | 2376 | 48125 |  | - $12 \%$ |
| Boarfishes | 137951 | 0 | 137951 | 0\% | N/A | 28099 | 0 | 28099 | 0\% | N/A | 77283 | 0 | 77283 | 0\% | N/A | 81111 | 0 | 81111 | 0\% | N/A |
| Herring | 62503 | 103 | 62607 | 0\% | 31\% | 59940 | 6926 | 66867 | 10\% | 2\% | 86639 | 1823 | 88462 | 2\% | 43\% | 69694 | 2951 | 72645 |  | 11\% |
| Horse mackerels | 164962 | 101 | 165973 | 1\% | - $1 \%$ | 156757 | 739 | 157496 | 0\% | 23\% | 171744 | 659 | 172403 | 0\% | 53\% | 164488 | 03 | 165291 |  | - $22 \%$ |
| Mackerel | 193430 | 34866 | 228296 | 15\% | - $2 \%$ | 204725 | 24886 | 229610 | 11\% | - $7 \%$ | 177140 | 14027 | 191168 |  | . $23 \%$ | 191765 | 24593 | 216358 | 11\% | - 8\% |
| Greater Silver Smelt | 5832 | 0 | 5832 | 0\% | N/A | 1485 | 0 | 1485 | 0\% | N/A | 2318 | 18 | 2336 | 1\% | N/A | 3212 | - 6 | 3218 | 0\% | N/A |
| Sprat | 8016 | 0 | 8016 | 0\% N | N/A | 7219 | 0 | 7219 | 0\% N | N/A | 13857 | 0 | 13857 | 0\% | N/A | 9697 | 0 | 9697 |  | N/A |
| Grand Total | 648033 | 38880 | 686914 | 6\% | - $2 \%$ | 469913 | 35690 | 505603 | 7\% | -6\% | 579205 | 17614 | 596819 |  | 28\% | 565717 | 30728 | 596445 |  | - 9\% |

Tables 3.I - 3.3 - Estimates of discards and landings for small pelagic species in ICES Division VI (upper panel); VII (middle table) and VI and VII combined (lower table). Note that the \%DR, discard ratio (discard/catch) is the percentage of total amount of catch discarded by species. \%DQ is an indicator of the quality of the DR estimate and indicates the proportion of the discard estimate derived from actual data rather than 'fill-ins' using data borrowed from another fleet or MS. The colour coding refers to larger than 66\% (green); between 33\% and 66\% (orange) and below $33 \%$ (red).

| VI and VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPEC_NAME | 2010 Land. | 2010 Dis. | 2010 Catch | 2010\%DR | \%DQ | 011 Land. | 2011 Dis. | 2011 Catch | 2011\%DR | \%DQ | 2012 Land. | 2012 Dis. 2 | 2012 Catch | 2012 \%DR | \%DQ | Avg Land. | Avg Dis. | Avg Catch | Avg \%DR |  | \% \%DQ |
| Albacore | 1112 | 2 | 1114 | 0.1\% | 25\% | 5626 | 106 | 5731 | 1.8\% | 31\% | 6174 | 0 | 6174 | 0.0\% | N/A | 4304 | 36 | 4340 | 0.8\% | O | 31\% |
| Swordfish | 6 |  | 6 | 0.0\% | N/A | 7 |  | 7 | 0.0\% | N/A | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0.0\% | N/A | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0.0\% |  | N/A |
| Bluefin tuna | 5 |  | 5 | 0.0\% | N/A | 8 |  | 8 | 0.0\% | N/A | 11 | 0 | 11 | 0.0\% | N/A | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0.0\% |  | N/A |
| Bigeye tuna | 0 |  | 0 | 0.0\% | N/A | 2 |  | 2 | 0.0\% | N/A | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0.0\% | N/A | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0.0\% |  | N/A |
| Grand Total | 1124 | 2 | 1125 | 0.1\% | 25\% | 5642 | 106 | 5748 | 1.8\% | 31\% | 6201 | 0 | 6201 | 0.0\% | N/A | 4322 | 36 | 4358 | 0.8\% |  | 31\% |

Table 3.4. Estimates of discards and landings for large pelagic species in ICES Division VI and VII. Note that the \%DR, discard ratio (discard/catch) is the percentage of total amount of catch discarded by species. $\% D Q$ is an indicator of the quality of the DR estimate and indicates the proportion of the discard estimate derived from actual data rather than 'fill-ins' using data borrowed from another fleet or MS. The colour coding refers to larger than $66 \%$ (green); between $33 \%$ and $66 \%$ (orange) and below $33 \%$ (red).

| SPECIES | SPEC_NAME | COUNTRY | 2010 Landings | 2010 Discards | 2010\%DR | 2011 Landings | 2011 Discards | 2011 \%DR | 2012 Landings | 2012 Discards | 2012 \%DR | Avg 2010-2012 Landings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MAC | Mackerel | SCO | 90,488 | 1,947 | 2\% | 91,054 | 8,989 | 9\% | 77,057 | 3,489 | 4\% | 86,200 |
|  |  | IRL | 43,081 | 441 | 1\% | 46,060 | 5,445 | 11\% | 42,268 | 2,413 | 5\% | 43,803 |
|  |  | NLD | 21,304 | 827 | 4\% | 18,335 | 3,514 | 16\% | 19,757 | 3,724 | 16\% | 19,799 |
|  |  | FRA | 7,030 | 30,196 | 81\% | 9,744 | 2,241 | 19\% | 8,977 | 3,002 | 25\% | 8,583 |
|  |  | DEU | 15,509 | 368 | 2\% | 17,451 | 2,399 | 12\% | 13,091 | 506 | 4\% | 15,351 |
|  |  | ENG | 12,391 | 1,057 | 8\% | 9,946 | 1,380 | 12\% | 7,478 | 602 | 7\% | 9,938 |
|  |  | NIR | 3,578 | 30 | 1\% | 9,220 | 626 | 6\% | 8,504 | 291 | 3\% | 7,101 |
|  |  | DNK | 48 | 0 | 0\% | 2,888 | 290 | 9\% | 7 | 0 | 1\% | 981 |
|  |  | LTU |  |  | 0\% | 23 | 0 | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 8 |
| JAX | Horse mackerels | NLD | 76,123 | 55 | 0\% | 69,585 | 397 | 1\% | 77,702 | 348 | 0\% | 74,470 |
|  |  | IRL | 44,714 | 842 | 2\% | 37,215 | 164 | 0\% | 44,643 | 103 | 0\% | 42,191 |
|  |  | DEU | 21,176 | 61 | 0\% | 24,419 | 122 | 0\% | 21,897 | 123 | 1\% | 22,498 |
|  |  | ENG | 14,481 | 19 | 0\% | 13,372 | 35 | 0\% | 13,044 | 52 | 0\% | 13,632 |
|  |  | DNK | 5,247 | 5 | 0\% | 5,977 | 6 | 0\% | 4,472 | 7 | 0\% | 5,232 |
|  |  | FRA | 1,351 | 0 | 0\% | 1,648 | 6 | 0\% | 5,895 | 17 | 0\% | 2,965 |
|  |  | SCO | 1,815 | 29 | 2\% | 833 | 0 | 0\% | 1,335 | 3 | 0\% | 1,328 |
|  |  | NIR | 53 | 0 | 0\% | 3,035 | 8 | 0\% | 899 | 2 | 0\% | 1,329 |
|  |  | LTU |  |  | 0\% | 671 | 0 | 0\% | 1,838 | 4 | 0\% | 836 |
|  |  | BEL |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 16 | 0 | 0\% | 5 |
| BOR | Boarfishes | IRL | 89,748 |  | 0\% | 20,320 |  | 0\% | 55,856 |  | 0\% | 55,308 |
|  |  | DNK | 38,789 |  | 0\% | 7,779 |  | 0\% | 18,288 |  | 0\% | 21,619 |
|  |  | SCO | 9,414 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 3,139 |  | 0\% | 4,184 |
| HER | Herring | IRL | 18,471 | 0 | 0\% | 18,488 | 0 | 0\% | 23,436 | 509 | 2\% | 20,132 |
|  |  | NLD | 14,232 | 53 | 0\% | 12,194 | 129 | 1\% | 21,083 | 833 | 4\% | 15,836 |
|  |  | DEU | 9,033 | 35 | 0\% | 8,372 | 83 | 1\% | 9,411 | 8 | 0\% | 8,939 |
|  |  | SCO | 6,400 | 0 | 0\% | 7,642 | 80 | 1\% | 8,370 | 32 | 0\% | 7,471 |
|  |  | ENG | 5,167 | 13 | 0\% | 5,107 | 5,639 | 52\% | 6,312 | 131 | 2\% | 5,529 |
|  |  | NIR | 7,292 | 0 | 0\% | 6,330 | 14 | 0\% | 7,307 | 21 | 0\% | 6,977 |
|  |  | FRA | 1,907 | 3 | 0\% | 1,808 | 982 | 35\% | 10,395 | 279 | 3\% | 4,703 |
|  |  | DNK |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 325 | 10 | 3\% | 108 |
| WHB | Blue whiting | NLD | 43,381 | 1,813 | 4\% | 4,542 | 160 | 3\% | 25,904 | 581 | 2\% | 24,609 |
|  |  | IRL | 8,324 | 397 | 5\% | 1,195 | 370 | 24\% | 7,557 | 8 | 0\% | 5,692 |
|  |  | DEU | 9,078 | 535 | 6\% | 235 |  | 0\% | 6,201 | 490 | 7\% | 5,171 |
|  |  | FRA | 7,842 | 112 | 1\% | 4,338 | 1,973 | 31\% | 1,394 | 1 | 0\% | 4,524 |
|  |  | SCO | 4,239 | 30 | 1\% | 1,331 | 618 | 32\% | 6,301 | 6 | 0\% | 3,957 |
|  |  | ENG | 2,475 | 13 | 1\% | 16 |  | 0\% | 1,590 | 1 | 0\% | 1,360 |
|  |  | NIR |  |  | 0\% | 4 | 2 | 37\% | 1,277 | 1 | 0\% | 427 |
|  |  | DNK |  |  | 0\% | 29 | 17 | 37\% |  |  | 0\% | 10 |
| SPR | Sprat | IRL | 3,103 | 0 | 0\% | 3,318 | 0 | 0\% | 7,695 | 0 | 0\% | 4,705 |
|  |  | ENG | 4,375 |  | 0\% | 3,107 |  | 0\% | 4,458 | 0 | 0\% | 3,980 |
|  |  | SCO | 537 |  | 0\% | 507 | 0 | 0\% | 1,689 |  | 0\% | 911 |
|  |  | NIR |  |  | 0\% | 248 | 0 | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 83 |
|  |  | NLD |  |  | 0\% | 38 |  | 0\% | 8 |  | 0\% | 15 |
| ARU | Greater argentine | NLD | 5,810 |  | 0\% | 1,483 |  | 0\% | 1,743 | 13 | 1\% | 3,012 |
|  |  | DEU |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 571 | 5 | 1\% | 190 |
|  |  | SCO | 20 |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 5 |  | 0\% | 9 |
| BOC | Boarfish | SCO |  |  | 0\% | 2,813 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 938 |
| Grand Total |  |  | 648,029 | 38,880 | 6\% | 472,719 | 35,689 | 7\% | 579,194 | 17,614 | 3\% | 566,647 |

Table 3.5 Breakdown of catch of small pelagics by MS for ICES Division VI and VII

|  |  |  | Data |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SPECIES | SPEC_NAME | COUNTRY | 2010 Landings | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \% D R \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2011\%DR | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2012 \%DR | Avg 20102012 <br> Landings | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Avg 2010- } \\ 2012 \\ \text { Discards } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Avg 2010- } \\ & 2012 \\ & \text { Catch } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Avg 2010-2012 \% DR |
| ALB | Albacore | IRL | 693 | 1 | 0\% | 3,591 | 71 | 2\% | 3,362 |  | 0\% | 2,549 | 24 | 2,573 | 1\% |
|  |  | FRA | 366 | 0 | 0\% | 2,010 | 35 | 2\% | 1,244 |  | 0\% | 1,207 | 12 | 1,218 | 1\% |
|  |  | ESP |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 1,538 |  | 0\% | 513 | 0 | 513 | 0\% |
|  |  | SCO | 50 |  | 0\% | 24 |  | 0\% | 29 |  | 0\% | 35 | 0 | 35 | 0\% |
|  |  | ENG | 3 |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
|  |  | NIR |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| SWO | Swordfish | FRA | 5 |  | 0\% | 5 |  | 0\% | 10 |  | 0\% | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0\% |
|  |  | IRL | 1 |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 6 |  | 0\% | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0\% |
|  |  | SCO | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | ENG |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| BFT | Bluefin tuna | IRL | 2 |  | 0\% | 4 |  | 0\% | 11 |  | 0\% | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0\% |
|  |  | FRA | 4 |  | 0\% | 3 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 2 | 0 | 2 | 0\% |
|  |  | SCO |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  |  | ENG |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| BET | Bigeye tuna | FRA | 0 |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
|  |  | IRL |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Grand Total |  |  | 1,124 | 2 | 0\% | 5,642 | 106 | 2\% | 6,201 |  | 0\% | 4,322 | 36 | 4,358 | 1\% |

Table 3.6 Breakdown of catch of large pelagics by MS for ICES Division VI and VII

| REG_GEAR | SPECIES | SPEC_NAME | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2010\%DR | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20112 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | $2011 \% \text { DR }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2012 \%DR | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Avg } 2010 \\ 2012 \\ \text { Landings } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Avg } 2010 \\ 2012 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Avg 2010- } \\ 2012 \text { Catch } \end{array}$ | Avg 2010-2012 \%DR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PELAGIC TRAWLS | MAC | Mackerel | 106,766 | 825 | 1\% | 153,483 | 15,703 | 9\% | 119,518 | 4,292 | 3\% | 126,589 | 6,940 | 133,529 | 5\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 23,542 | 904 | 4\% | 38,800 | 247 | 1\% | 44,663 | 110 | 0\% | 35,668 | 420 | 36,089 | 1\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting | 40,723 | 260 | 1\% | 8,758 | 3,139 | 26\% | 28,045 | 1,049 | 4\% | 25,842 | 1,482 | 27,324 | 5\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 28,363 | 68 | 0\% | 22,962 | 191 | 1\% | 25,314 | 64 | 0\% | 25,546 | 108 | 25,654 | 0\% |
|  | ARU | Greater argentine | 5,802 |  | 0\% | 1,483 |  | 0\% | 2,314 | 18 | 1\% | 3,200 | 6 | 3,205 | 0\% |
| BOTTOM TRAWLS | MAC | Mackerel | 545 | 0 | 0\% | 5,579 | 0 | 0\% | 254 | 0 | 0\% | 2,126 | 0 | 2,126 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 1,001 | 0 | 0\% | 819 | 0 | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 607 | 0 | 607 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 5 | 0 | 0\% | 1,206 | 0 | 0\% | 78 | 0 | 0\% | 430 | 0 | 430 | 0\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 549 |  | 0\% | 183 | 0 | 183 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat | 11 | 0 | 0\% | 33 | 0 | 0\% | 127 |  | 0\% | 57 | 0 | 57 | 0\% |
| none | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 438 |  | 0\% | 146 | 0 | 146 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 4 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| LONGLINE | HER | Herring | 60 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 9 |  | 0\% | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel | 6 |  | 0\% | 24 |  | 0\% | 8 |  | 0\% | 12 | 0 | 12 | 0\% |
| GILL | HER | Herring | 16 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| POTS | MAC | Mackerel | 1 |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| TRAMMEL | MAC | Mackerel |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Grand Total |  |  | 206,846 | 2,057 | 1\% | 233,150 | 19,280 | 8\% | 221,315 | 5,531 | 2\% | 220,437 | 8,956 | 229,393 | 4\% |


| VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REG_GEAR | SPECIES | SPEC_NAME | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2010\%DR | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | $2011 \% \text { DR }$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2012 \%DR | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Avg } 2010 \\ 2012 \\ \text { Landings } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r} \hline \text { Avg } 2010 \\ 2012 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Avg 2010- } \\ & 2012 \text { Catch } \end{aligned}$ | Avg 2010-2012 \% DR |
| PELAGIC TRAWLS | JAX | Horse mackerels | 140,837 | 107 | 0\% | 116,181 | 390 | 0\% | 122,990 | 549 | 0\% | 126,669 | 349 | 127,018 | 0\% |
|  | BOR | Boarfishes | 127,367 |  | 0\% | 27,160 |  | 0\% | 73,398 |  | 0\% | 75,975 | 0 | 75,975 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel | 81,460 | 32,807 | 29\% | 38,984 | 8,221 | 17\% | 49,106 | 9,485 | 16\% | 56,517 | 16,837 | 73,354 | 23\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 31,571 | 35 | 0\% | 33,000 | 148 | 0\% | 60,402 | 1,738 | 3\% | 41,657 | 640 | 42,298 | 2\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting | 32,367 | 2,641 | 8\% | 2,930 |  | 0\% | 20,157 | 39 | 0\% | 18,485 | 893 | 19,378 | 5\% |
| BOTTOM TRAWLS | MAC | Mackerel | 4,488 | 1,233 | 22\% | 6,527 | 933 | 13\% | 8,114 | 251 | 3\% | 6,377 | 806 | 7,182 | 11\% |
|  | BOR | Boarfishes | 9,219 |  | 0\% | 515 |  | 0\% | 3,467 |  | 0\% | 4,400 | 0 | 4,400 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 1,477 | 0 | 0\% | 3,130 | 6,588 | 68\% | 802 | 21 | 3\% | 1,803 | 2,203 | 4,006 | 55\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 564 | 0 | 0\% | 569 | 102 | 15\% | 3,160 | 0 | 0\% | 1,431 | 34 | 1,465 | 2\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting | 2,247 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 1,472 |  | 0\% | 1,240 | 0 | 1,240 | 0\% |
| none | BOR | Boarfishes |  |  | 0\% | 397 |  | 0\% | 288 |  | 0\% | 228 | 0 | 228 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 401 |  | 0\% | 134 | 0 | 134 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| LONGLINE | MAC | Mackerel | 131 |  | 0\% | 89 |  | 0\% | 74 |  | 0\% | 98 | 0 | 98 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| GILL | HER | Herring | 6 | 0 | 0\% | 20 |  | 0\% | 108 |  | 0\% | 45 | 0 | 45 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 14 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 15 |  | 0\% | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel | 5 | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 0 | 1\% | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat | 6 |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 14 |  | 0\% | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | ARU | Greater argentine |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| DREDGE | MAC | Mackerel | 6 |  | 0\% | 7 |  | 0\% | 45 |  | 0\% | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% | 24 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring |  |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| TRAMMEL | MAC | Mackerel | 9 |  | 0\% | 15 | 29 | 65\% | 6 |  | 0\% | 10 | 10 | 20 | 49\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 4 |  | 0\% | 6 |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | BOR | Boarfishes |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| BEAM | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% | 19 |  | 0\% | 30 |  | 0\% | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel | 5 |  | 0\% | 4 |  | 0\% | 3 |  | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 0 |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| POTS | MAC | Mackerel | 8 |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 6 |  | 0\% | 5 | 0 | 5 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 1 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting | 1 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Grand Total |  |  | 431,792 | 36,823 | 8\% | 229,593 | 16,410 | 7\% | 344,070 | 12,082 | 3\% | 335,152 | 21,772 | 356,924 |  |


| VI and VII |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| REG_GEAR | SPECIES | SPEC_NAME | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2010\%DR | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 2011 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2011\%DR | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline 2012 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2012 \%DR | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Avg } 2010 \\ 2012 \\ \text { Landings } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Avg } 2010 \\ 2012 \\ \text { Discards } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Avg 2010- } \\ 2012 \text { Catch } \end{gathered}$ | Avg 2010-2012 \%DR |
| PELAGIC TRAWLS | MAC | Mackerel | 188,227 | 33,632 | 15\% | 192,466 | 23,924 | 11\% | 168,624 | 13,777 | 8\% | 183,106 | 23,778 | 206,883 | 11\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 164,379 | 1,011 | 1\% | 154,981 | 637 | 0\% | 167,652 | 659 | 0\% | 162,337 | 769 | 163,106 | 0\% |
|  | BOR | Boarfishes | 128,733 |  | 0\% | 27,183 |  | 0\% | 73,528 |  | 0\% | 76,481 | 0 | 76,481 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 59,934 | 103 | 0\% | 55,962 | 339 | 1\% | 85,716 | 1,802 | 2\% | 67,204 | 748 | 67,952 | 1\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting | 73,090 | 2,900 | 4\% | 11,688 | 3,139 | 21\% | 48,202 | 1,087 | 2\% | 44,327 | 2,376 | 46,702 | 5\% |
| BOTTOM TRAWLS | MAC | Mackerel | 5,033 | 1,233 | 20\% | 12,107 | 933 | 7\% | 8,368 | 251 | 3\% | 8,503 | 806 | 9,308 | 9\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 2,478 | 0 | 0\% | 3,949 | 6,588 | 63\% | 803 | 21 | 3\% | 2,410 | 2,203 | 4,613 | 48\% |
|  | BOR | Boarfishes | 9,219 |  | 0\% | 519 |  | 0\% | 3,467 |  | 0\% | 4,402 | 0 | 4,402 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 569 | 0 | 0\% | 1,775 | 102 | 5\% | 3,237 | 0 | 0\% | 1,860 | 34 | 1,894 | 2\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting | 2,247 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 2,021 |  | 0\% | 1,423 | 0 | 1,423 | 0\% |
| none | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 839 |  | 0\% | 280 | 0 | 280 | 0\% |
|  | BOR | Boarfishes |  |  | 0\% | 397 |  | 0\% | 288 |  | 0\% | 228 | 0 | 228 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 4 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| LONGLINE | MAC | Mackerel | 137 |  | 0\% | 113 |  | 0\% | 81 |  | 0\% | 111 | 0 | 111 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 60 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 9 |  | 0\% | 23 | 0 | 23 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% |  | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| GILL | HER | Herring | 22 | 0 | 0\% | 20 |  | 0\% | 108 |  | 0\% | 50 | 0 | 50 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 14 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 15 |  | 0\% | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel | 5 | 0 | 0\% | 9 | 0 | 0\% | 8 | 0 | 1\% | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat | 6 |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 14 |  | 0\% | 7 | 0 | 7 | 0\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | ARU | Greater argentine |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| DREDGE | MAC | Mackerel | 6 |  | 0\% | 7 |  | 0\% | 45 |  | 0\% | 19 | 0 | 19 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% | 24 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring |  |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| TRAMMEL | MAC | Mackerel | 9 |  | 0\% | 15 | 29 | 65\% | 6 |  | 0\% | 10 | 10 | 20 | 49\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 4 |  | 0\% | 6 |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | BOR | Boarfishes |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| BEAM | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% | 19 |  | 0\% | 30 |  | 0\% | 16 | 0 | 16 | 0\% |
|  | MAC | Mackerel | 5 |  | 0\% | 4 |  | 0\% | 3 |  | 0\% | 4 | 0 | 4 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 0 |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| POTS | MAC | Mackerel | 9 |  | 0\% | 4 |  | 0\% | 6 |  | 0\% | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0\% |
|  | HER | Herring | 1 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | WHB | Blue whiting | 1 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | SPR | Sprat |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | JAX | Horse mackerels |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Grand Total |  |  | 634,191 | 38,880 | 6\% | 461,254 | 35,690 | 7\% | 563,075 | 17,596 | 3\% | 552,840 | 30,722 | 583,562 | 5\% |

Tables 3.7-3.9 - Estimates of discards and landings for small pelagic species by gear type in ICES Division VI (upper panel); VII (middle table) and VI and VII combined (lower table).

| REG_GEAR | SPECIES | SPEC_NAME | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2010 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2010 \\ & \text { \%DR } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2011\%DR | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Landings } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012 \\ \text { Discards } \end{array}$ | 2012 \%DR | Avg 2010- <br> 2012 <br> Landings | Avg 20102012 Discards | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Avg } 2010-1 \\ 2012 \\ \text { Catch } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Avg 2010-2012 \%DR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PELAGIC TRAWLS | ALB | Albacore | 989 | 2 | 0\% | 5,460 | 106 | 2\% | 4,399 |  | 0\% | 3,616 | 36 | 3,652 | 1\% |
|  | SWO | Swordfish | 6 |  | 0\% | 7 |  | 0\% | 15 |  | 0\% | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0\% |
|  | BFT | Bluefin tuna | 5 |  | 0\% | 8 |  | 0\% | 10 |  | 0\% | 8 | 0 | 8 | 0\% |
|  | BET | Bigeye tuna |  |  | 0\% | 2 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0\% |
| LONGLINE | ALB | Albacore | 62 |  | 0\% | 33 |  | 0\% | 1,588 |  | 0\% | 561 | 0 | 561 | 0\% |
|  | SWO | Swordfish |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| BOTTOM TRAWLS | ALB | Albacore | 62 |  | 0\% | 106 |  | 0\% | 140 |  | 0\% | 102 | 0 | 102 | 0\% |
|  | SWO | Swordfish | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 1 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | BFT | Bluefin tuna | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
|  | BET | Bigeye tuna | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| none | ALB | Albacore |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 29 |  | 0\% | 10 | 0 | 10 | 0\% |
| TRAMMEL | ALB | Albacore | 0 |  | 0\% | 26 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 9 | 0 | 9 | 0\% |
|  | BFT | Bluefin tuna |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| GILL | ALB | Albacore |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 19 |  | 0\% | 6 | 0 | 6 | 0\% |
|  | SWO | Swordfish | 0 |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| DREDGE | BET | Bigeye tuna |  |  | 0\% |  |  | 0\% | 0 |  | 0\% | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0\% |
| Grand Total |  |  | 1,124 | 2 | 0\% | 5,642 | 106 | 2\% | 6,201 |  | 0\% | 4,322 | 36 | 4,358 | 1\% |

Tables 3.10 - Estimates of discards and landings for large pelagic species by gear type in ICES Divisions VI and VII.

Table 3.1I TAC allocations by species and member states. Note that at the time of writing, it was not possible to obtain end of year quota allocations associated with between MS swaps.


| Horse mackerel | Ila, IVa, VI, VIIa-c, VIIek,VIIIa bde, Vb, XII, XIV | BE <br> DK <br> DE <br> IE <br> ES <br> FR <br> NL <br> PT <br> SE <br> UK <br> FOR <br> NR | $\begin{array}{r} 15691 \\ 12243 \\ 40775 \\ 16699 \\ 6301 \\ 49123 \\ 1609 \\ 675 \\ 14765 \\ 2000 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15562 \\ 12142 \\ 40439 \\ 16562 \\ 6250 \\ 48719 \\ 1595 \\ 675 \\ 14643 \\ 2200 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15502 \\ 12096 \\ 40284 \\ 16498 \\ 6226 \\ 48352 \\ 1589 \\ 675 \\ 14587 \\ \\ 2000 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | IVbc, VIId | BE <br> DK <br> DE <br> IE <br> ES <br> FR <br> NL <br> PT <br> SE <br> UK <br> NR | 48 20875 1843 1313 388 1732 12568 44 75 4968 3600 |  |  | 47 20447 1805 1286 380 1696 12310 43 75 4866 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 15502 \\ 12096 \\ 40284 \\ 16498 \\ 6226 \\ 48532 \\ 1589 \\ 675 \\ 14587 \\ 2000 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Horse <br> Mackerel <br> Sum |  |  | 207335 | 0 | 0 | 201742 | 0 | 0 | 315798 | 0 | 0 |
| Mackerel | $\mathrm{Ila}, \mathrm{Vb}$, <br> VI,VII, <br> VIIIab <br> de,XII, <br> XIV | BE <br> DK <br> DE <br> IE <br> EE <br> ES <br> FR <br> LV <br> LT <br> NL <br> PL <br> PT <br> SE <br> UK <br> NR | $\begin{array}{r} 18793 \\ 62641 \\ 156 \\ 20 \\ 12530 \\ 115 \\ 115 \\ 27405 \\ 1323 \\ \\ \hline 172268 \end{array}$ |  |  | 16459 <br> 54861 <br> 137 <br> 20 <br> 10974 <br> 101 <br> 101 <br> 24002 <br> 1159 <br> 150870 |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} 16487 \\ 137 \\ 54956 \\ 18 \\ 10993 \\ 101 \\ 101 \\ 24043 \\ 1161 \\ \\ 151132 \end{array}$ |  |  |
| Mackerel Sum |  |  | 295366 | 0 | 0 | 258684 | 0 | 0 | 259129 | 0 | 0 |



