Adaptations to offshore wind farms and fishing methods to enable co-location in UK waters

Suzannah Walmsley, Emma Wootton









Introduction to the Project

- Project aim:
 - To determine if there are potential technical or methodological adaptations to better enable co-location of OWFs (fixed and floating) with fishing activities, and
 - To evaluate the real-world feasibility and viability of implementing such adaptations
- Legal situation vs reality
- Focus on adaptations employed during consenting phase, which could facilitate continued fishing within arrays during the operational lifespan of an OWF

Co-location was defined as

two (or more) activities being actively managed together, whilst sharing (or occupying) the same spatial area

Scope

Array areas only (not cable routes)

Lease areas already defined (focus on consenting phase, not site-selection)

Methodology and Approach

Literature Review

- OWF technologies
- Fishing methods
- Potential interactions
- Adaptations (OWF and Fisheries)

Stakeholder Engagement

- Interviews
- Fisheries and OWF workshops
- Explore interactions and adaptations in more detail
- Feasibility and viability of options

Analysis and Synthesis

- Semi-quantitative, qualitative and thematic assessments
- Overall confidence for feasibility and viability
- Scoring (low/med/high) for supporting info, risks/benefits, level of support

Principal interactions between OWFs and fishing activities			
Entanglement of fishing gear (mobile and static) with OWF installations	Collision of fishing vessel with a wind turbine, or associated equipment	Restrictions on movement and manoeuvrability of fishing vessels	Transit route alterations of fishing vessels

OWF adaptation categories

- Wind turbine layout
- Inter-array cables
- Floating offshore wind and miscellaneous (e.g., lighting and navigation)

Fisheries adaptation categories

- Fishing gear types
- Fishing practices

Examples of co-location







Figure 12.32: AIS Tracks of a 22 m Creeler Fishing within Hornsea One

Figure 12.33: AIS Tracks of a 30 m Beam Trawler Fishing within Walney Extension

Figure 12.35: AIS Tracks of a 33 m Scallop Dredger Fishing within Moray East

5

Examples of co-location



Figure 12.32: AIS Tracks of a 22 m Creeler Fishing within Hornsea One

Figure 12.33: AIS Tracks of a 30 m Beam Trawler Fishing within Walney Extension

Figure 12.35: AIS Tracks of a 33 m Scallop Dredger Fishing within Moray East

Turbine layout options

Cable orientation options



Results – Adaptations to OWFs

- Number of potential adaptations to OWFs identified; there were differences between adaptations considered most likely to support colocation, and those perceived as most feasible and viable
- Adaptations (in descending order) **most likely to support** co-location:
- **1. Wind turbine array layout and orientation**
- 2. Clear corridors
- 3. Improved cable mapping (and associated measures such as sufficient cable burial, monitoring for exposure)
- Adaptations (in descending order) most feasible and viable (in real world):
- **1. Regular monitoring for cable exposure**
- 2. Improved cable mapping
- 3. Fishing-friendly external cable protection
- 4. Improved lighting, navigation, and alarm technology on wind turbines



Results – Adaptations to Fishing Activities

- 57% of interviewed fishers willing to modify fishing activities to help achieve co-location. Some already trialled changes to fishing activities
- Adaptations (to gear type and practices) found to be of limited feasibility and viability
 - Fishers already consider their operations to be **fully optimised**, subsequent adaptations may detrimentally impact viability of such operations
- Adaptations do not address principal concern of potential physical interaction with OWF infrastructure, that can have liability, and health and safety, implications for fishers
- If (and when) fishing can safely take place within OWFs, will provide opportunities to trial new adaptations to fishing practices
- Keen interest in establishing collaborative working relationships with offshore wind sector, to support development of co-location



Conclusions

- **Site selection** of OWFs is key to minimising OWF and fisheries interactions
- Co-location is complex and highly **site-specific**, **not one-size-fits-all**
- Early and meaningful engagement, constructive dialogue and co-operation between sectors is crucial
- Most feasible and viable adaptations: improved lighting and navigational aids, and making cables safer and fishing-friendly
- Adaptations to wind turbine layout and orientation are **potentially costly** due to effects on OWF efficiency
- Limited scope for adaptation of fishing operations which are optimised for local conditions.
 Future developments and future generations may create new approaches to successfully fish within OWFs
- Health and safety risks associated with fishing within the OWF arrays (collision and snagging) are a key concern
- Need for improved knowledge and data exchange between the two sectors

Recommendations



Accurate, timely, and up-to-date dissemination of OWF-related activities to fisheries sector

Need for up-to-date, and approved, best practice guidelines

Cables – minimise interactions, engage, clarify position on fishing over cables, mapping and monitoring, communicate exposures

Policy steer may be required to promote co-location adaptions

Funding – more robust evidence, innovation of fishing methods/gears



Thank you for your attention

Suzannah Walmsley swalmsley@abpmer.co.uk +44 (0)23 8071 1840



Defra

Adaptations to Offshore Wind Farms and Fishing Methods to Enable Co-location Final Report

May 2023



